UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

-		-
_	No. 17-2030	_
TORNELLO FONTAINE PIERCE	E EL BEY,	
Plaintiff - App	ellant,	
v.		
JOSH STEIN; PAT MCCRORY ALLEN JOINES; SHERIFF WIL Eschol Edward Barnes, Jr.; NANC	LIAM T. SCHATZ	•
Defendants - A	Appellees.	
-		-
Appeal from the United States Dis at Charlotte. Frank D. Whitney, Ch		•
Submitted: January 2, 2018		Decided: January 11, 2018
Before WILKINSON, KING, and I	FLOYD, Circuit Jud	ges.
Affirmed by unpublished per curian	m opinion.	

Tornello Fontaine Pierce El Bey, Appellant Pro Se. Grady L. Balentine, Jr., Special Deputy Attorney General, NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Raleigh North Carolina; James R. Morgan, Jr., WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON (US) LLP, Winston-Salem, North Carolina; Lonnie Glenn Albright, III, FORSYTH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, Greensboro, North Carolina; James Demarest Secor, III, Greensboro, North Carolina; Polly D. Sizemore, CITY OF GREENSBORO LEGAL

DEPARTMENT, Greensboro, North Carolina, for Appellees.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Tornello Fontaine Pierce El Bey appeals the district court's order dismissing his amended complaint with prejudice. On appeal, we confine our review to the issues raised in the Appellant's brief. *See* 4th Cir. R. 34(b). Because Pierce El Bey's informal brief does not raise any specific challenge to the basis for the district court's disposition of the merits of his claims, Pierce El Bey has forfeited appellate review of the court's order.* *See Williams v. Giant Food Inc.*, 370 F.3d 423, 430 n.4 (4th Cir. 2004). Accordingly, we affirm the district court's judgment. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED

^{*} We conclude that the district court had subject-matter jurisdiction as Pierce El Bey's false arrest claim should have been raised pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012). We further reject Pierce El Bey's conclusory assertion that the district judge was biased. *See Belue v. Leventhal*, 640 F.3d 567, 573 (4th Cir. 2011).